## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL
PAGE 01 STATE 094887
63 ORIGIN PM-04
INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-13 ISO-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02
INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PRS-01 SAJ-01
SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 TRSE-00 DODE-00 NSC-05
ACDA-07 OES-06 EB-07 COME-00 EA-07 /109 R
DRAFTED BY PM/NPO:JPMCGUINNESS/DS APPROVED BY PM/NPO:LVNOSENZO OES-MR. DEVINE EUR/RPE-MR. ALBRECHT S/P-MR. KALICKI C-MR. KELLY
S/S - FVORTIZ
R 200025Z APR 76 FM SECSTATE WASHDC TO AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS USMISSION EC BRUSSELS INFO AMEMBASSY BONN AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN AMEMBASSY DUBLIN AMEMBASSY LONDON AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG AMEMBASSY PARIS AMEMBASSY ROME AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE USMISSION OECD PARIS USMISSION GENEVA
CONFIDENTIAL STATE 094887
E.O11652: GDS
TAGS: ENRG, PARM, TECH, BE, EEC
CONFIDENTIAL
CONFIDENTIAL
PAGE 02 STATE 094887
CUDIECT, DEL CIAN CONCEDN A DOUT MUCLEAD CUDDI IED LINDEI

SUBJECT: BELGIAN CONCERN ABOUT NUCLEAR SUPPLIER UNDER-

## **TAKING**

REFS: A. BRUSSELS 3557, B. STATE 85995 (NOTAL)

- 1. REF B (REPLY TO EC COMMISSIONER BRUNNER'S QUESTIONS ABOUT SUPPLIER UNDERTAKING AND EC) TOUCHES ON MANY OF BELGIAN CONCERNS REPORTED REF A. DEPARTMENT WOULD LIKE TO STRESS POINT SUGGESTED PARA 5 REF B: RELATION OF NUCLEAR SUPPLIERS GUIDELINES TO EC IS PRIMARILY A MATTER TO BE DETERMINED BY EC MEMBERS WHO HAVE DECIDED TO OBSERVE THE GUIDELINES. WHILE WE CONTINUE TO SUPPORT EC EFFORTS TO COORDINATE NUCLEAR TRADE POLICIES OF MEMBER STATES (AS INDICATED PARA 2 REF B), WE BELIEVE WE MUST AVOID PUTTING OURSELVES IN THE POSITION OF APPEARING TO PREEMPT THE PREROGATIVES OF LEADERSHIP ON THIS ISSUE WHICH RIGHTFULLY BELONG TO EC SUPPLIER STATES.
- 2. IN VIEW OF DEPARTMENT'S JUDGMENT THAT US SHOULD DEFER TO EC MEMBERS ON ISSUE OF GUIDELINES' RELATION TO COMMUNITY, EMBASSY AND MISSION SHOULD LIMIT THEIR RESPONSE TO TALKING POINTS OF REF B, AS APPROPRIATE, IN RESPONDING

TO BELGIAN CONCERNS. FOR EMBASSY AND MISSION INFORMATION, HOWEVER, FOLLOWING ANALYSIS OF CONCERNS RAISED REF A IS PROVIDED FOR BACKGROUND.

A. SALES BY BELGIAN SUBSIDIARIES LOCATED IN NON-ADHERING EC COUNTRIES SHOULD NOT WORK AGAINST BELGIUM'S COMMERCIAL INTERESTS IF BELGIUM WERE BOUND BY THE GUIDELINES, AS PARA 3 REF A SUGGESTS. UNDER GUIDELINES, TRANSFERS FROM BELGIUM TO FOREIGN SUBSIDIARIES WOULD BE SUBJECT TO ASSURANCES AND GUARANTEES INCLUDING CONTROLS ON RETRANSFERS TO THIRD PARTIES, WHICH WOULD PUT THE FOREIGN SUBSIDIARIES ON THE SAME FOOTING AS BELGIAN FIRMS AS FAR AS GUIDELINES REQUIREMENTS ARE CONCERNED. OF COURSE, ANY TRANSFERS TO FOREIGN SUBSIDIARIES WHICH OCCUR PRIOR TO BELGIAN ADOPTION OF THE GUIDELINES WOULD NOT NECESSARILY INVOLVE CONTROLS ON RETRANSFERS, BUT IT IS PROBABLY NOT TOO VENTURESOME TO SUGGEST THAT FEW SIGNIFICANT TRANSFERS ALONG THIS LINE HAVE YET TAKEN PLACE, NOR ARE LIKELY CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 094887

TO IN THE IMMEDIATE FUTURE.

B. POSSIBLE DISCRIMINATION AGAINST FOREIGN-OWNED BELGIAN NUCLEAR CONCERN SUGGESTED PARA 4 REF A, WOULD BE DEALT WITH UNDER GUIDELINES PROVISIONS CALLING FOR CONSULTATIONS AMONG ADHERENTS TO GUIDELINES. DIFFERING INTERPRETATIONS OF GUIDELINES, LEADING TO UNFAIR COMMERCIAL PRACTICES, WOULD BE A PRIME MATTER FOR CONSULTATION

LEADING TO RESOLUTION OF DIFFICULTY. INDEED, ONE OF THE MAJOR BENEFITS OF THE EFFORT TO DRAW UP AND ADOPT THE GUIDELINES HAS BEEN THE REMOVAL OF NUCLEAR TRANSFER QUESTIONS FROM THE PURELY COMMERCIAL SPHERE, WITH ITS ATTENDANT ELEMENTS OF COMPETITION AND DIVISIVENESS, TO AN ARENA OF DISCUSSION AND CONSULTATION AMONG SUPPLIER NATIONS.

C. PARA 6 REF A MENTIONS THE DUTCH IN THE CONTEXT OF SUPPORT FOR BELGIAN EC INITIATIVES. EMBASSY AND MISSION MIGHT FIND THE FOLLOWING DUTCH ORAL STATEMENT (MADE AT TIME OF SUBMITTING NOTE OF ADHERENCE TO GUIDELINES) INTERESTING AS A COMMENT ON THE GUIDELINES AS THEY MIGHT BE AFFECTED BY THE TREATY OF ROME:

"THE GOVERNMENT OF THE NETHERLANDS CONSIDERS THE GUIDE-LINES FOR NUCLEAR TRANSFERS AGREED TO BY PARTICIPANTS IN THE LONDON DISCUSSIONS AS MINIMUM STANDARDS WHICH WILL APPLY TO ALL ITS FUTURE NUCLEAR EXPORTS. THE GOVERN-MENT OF THE NETHERLANDS IS PREPARED TO ADOPT MORE STRINGENT CONSTRAINTS WHEN APPROPRIATE.

SO FAR AS TRADE WITHIN THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITY IS CONCERNED, THE GOVERNMENT OF THE NETHERLANDS WILL, WHEN NECESSARY, IMPLEMENT THE GUIDELINES IN THE LIGHT OF ITS COMMITMENTS UNDER THE TREATY OF ROME."

UPON DELIVERY OF SUPPLIER NOTE AND PRESENTATION OF THE ORAL STATEMENT BY DUTCH AMBASSADOR ON MARCH 26, PM DIRECTOR VEST SPECIFICALLY EXPRESSED HIS UNDERSTANDING THAT TREATY OF ROME CONSIDERATIONS WOULD NOT LEAD TO ANY DIMINISHING OF THE GUIDELINES' INTENDED APPLICATION. THE AMBASSADOR REPLIED THAT VEST'S INTERPRETATION IS CORRECT CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 094887

- --TREATY OF ROME COMMITMENTS ARE EXPECTED TO LEAD ONLY TO STANDARDS MORE STRICT THAN THOSE CONTEMPLATED BY THE GUIDELINES.
- D. PARA 7 REF A INITIATIVE BY BELGIUM WAS SUBJECT OF FYI REMARK IN PARA G, REF B. CONFIRMATION BEING SOUGHT MIGHT HAVE UNDESIRABLE EFFECT OF CALLING ATTENTION TO EC TRADING PRACTICES WHICH MIGHT BE VIEWED AS DISCRIMINATORY BY POTENTIAL CUSTOMERS OUTSIDE EC.
- E. PARA 9 REF A, FIRST POINT, DOES NOT SEEM WELL TAKEN.
  THE GUIDELINES ARE DESIGNED TO COMPLEMENT THE NPT, NOT
  REPLACE IT. IF FOLLOWED, THEY HAVE THE EFFECT OF REQUIRING SAFEGUARDS AS CONTEMPLATED BY THE NPT ON ALL
  NUCLEAR TRANSFERS, NOT JUST THOSE TO NPT PARTIES, AND

IN SEVERAL WAYS GO BEYOND NPT REQUIREMENTS, FOR EXAMPLE IN ASSERTING NEEDS FOR BETTER CONTROLS ON ENRICHMENT, REPROCESSING, AND HEAVY WATER PRODUCTION. MOREOVER, THE NON-MANDATORY PROVISIONS CALLING FOR RESTRAINT AND CONSULTATIONS CREATE AN IMPFOVED CLIMATE FOR FUTURE PROGRESS IN NON-PROLIFERATION.

F. IN THE MATTERS OF DOMESTIC CONSULTATIONS AND LEGISLA-TION. AS DISCUSSED IN LAST TWO POINTS IN PARA 9 REF A. THE US FOR ITS PART FORESEES NO DIFFICULTY IN ESTABLISH-ING IMPROVED EXPORT CONTROL REQUIREMENTS AS A UNILATERAL POLICY DEVELOPMENT. ONLY JAPAN HAS MENTIONED ANY LEGIS-LATIVE PROBLEMS IN IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINES, BUT CONTRARY TO BELGIAN PREFERENCES WISHES TO KEEP THE GUIDELINES CONFIDENTIAL. WE ALSO BELIEVE THAT PRINCIPLES OF GUIDELINES CAN BE ADEQUATELY EXPLAINED TO INDUSTRY WITHOUT BREACHING CONFIDENTIALITY BY SETTING THEM OUT AS UNILATERAL POLICY DECISIONS, AND WOULD SUGGEST THAT OTHER EC SUPPLIERS APPEAR TO HAVE ENCOUISERED NO DIFFICULTY IN THIS RESPECT: ON THE GENERAL ISSUE OF CONFIDENTIALITY. THE FRENCH, GERMANS AND JAPANESE HAVE PREFERRED NOT TO MAKE THE GUIDELINES PUBLIC. NOW WE HAVE REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THE FRENCH MAY SEE SOME ADVANTAGES IN PUBLI-CATION, BUT THE GERMANS ARE APPARENTLY STILL OPPOSED. ROBINSON

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: POLICIES, NUCLEAR AGREEMENTS, SALES, NUCLEAR SAFEGUARDS, NUCLEAR COOPERATION PROGRAMS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 20 APR 1976 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976STATE094887

Document Number: 1976STATE094887
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: JPMCGUINNESS/DS

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D760148-0586

From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760443/aaaabkcb.tel Line Count: 192 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ORIGIN PM Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a

Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 76 BRUSSELS 3557, 76 STATE 85995
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 29 MAR 2004

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <29 MAR 2004 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <30 MAR 2004 by GolinoFR>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: BELGIAN CONCERN ABOUT NUCLEAR SUPPLIER UNDER-TAKING

TAGS: ENRG, PARM, TECH, BE, EEC To: BRUSSELS EC BRUSSELS

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006